SIGNED.

1 2

Dated: August 06, 2010



3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

2425

26

27

28

James la la a la

JAMES M. MARLAR Chief Bankruptcy Judge

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

Debtor.	)
CENTERPOINT CONDOMINIUMS,	) ) ORDER
TEMPE LAND COMPANY, LLC, dba	) No. 2:08-bk-17587-JMM
In re:	Chapter 11

A motion for stay pending appeal was heard on August 5, 2010 (ECF No. 557). Applying the Ninth Circuit BAP's decision of *In re Wymer*, 5 B.R. 802 (9th Cir. BAP 1980), the court DENIES the motion for stay.

## 1. Likelihood of Success on the Merits

The binding authority cited by the court for its decision against the Appellant is *Coordinated Financial Planning Corp.*, 65 B.R. 711 (9th Cir. BAP 1986). That case is squarely on point with the relevant facts of this case. As the BAP follows its own precedent, the only way for it to overturn the case would be to grant a motion to convene an *en banc* panel, and then to have the entire panel consider the issue. On the merits, the court agrees with *Coordinated Financial*, and further believes that the cases cited by Appellant, which relate to option contracts, are legally and factually distinguishable from the executory nature of the "right of first refusal" ("ROFR") at issue here.

## 2. Irreparable Injury to Appellant

O

This element is also meant to cover the equities of a case. Here the Chapter 11 case was immediately known to the Appellant, as counsel acknowledged at oral argument. When conversion to Chapter 7 occurred on September 22, 2009, 11 U.S.C. § 365(d)(1) came into play. Because the Trustee never assumed the Appellant's ROFR, it was, as an executory contract, deemed rejected. *Coordinated Financial*; § 365(d)(1). Appellant took no steps to have its current claimthat a ROFR is not an executory contract--determined by commencing an adversary proceeding. FED. R. BANKR. P. 7001(2). It thus waited too long to assert its rights, and first made the Trustee and Buyer aware of its position at or shortly before the auction sale.

Because the Appellant "slept on its rights," and is now attempting to thwart a sale which will put \$875,000 into the Trustee's hands, for payment to creditors, whatever "irreparable injury" it will suffer is due to no one's fault but its own.

Appellant can file a claim for money damages, and if that claim is allowed, it can participate in the dividend.

## 3. No Substantial Harm to Appellee

To the contrary, the Appellee/Buyer has every reason to close quickly, because of parallel concerns regarding the creditors of another major bankruptcy case, Mortgages, Ltd. That estate has many deserving creditors as well, and its fiduciaries have corresponding obligations. This property is pivotal to part of their overall plan to maximize recoveries for the Mortgages Ltd. creditors.

For this estate, and its Trustee, it is statutorily important--and required--to reduce the assets to money as quickly as possible. 11 U.S.C. § 704(a)(1). To now be caught up in the untimely contentions of the Appellant draws the Trustee into a "tar baby" of continued litigation, which just

With apologies to Joel Chandler Harris.

1	creates continuing expense for this estate's creditors. Delaying closing of this asset's sale creates
2	a severe prejudice to the estate. <sup>2</sup>
3	
4	4. <u>Public Interest</u>
5	
6	It is good public policy to quickly liquidate estate assets, and to achieve finality of
7	bankruptcy proceedings, rather than spend countless additional hours, over extended periods of time,
8	in litigation that a prudent and reasonable party with a legal contention should have commenced 9-
9	10 months ago. Only the system suffers when parties too-long delay asserting their known rights.
10	
11	<u>RULING</u>
12	
13	IT IS ORDERED DENYING the motion for stay pending appeal. FED. R. BANKR.
14	P. 8005.
15	
16	DATED AND SIGNED ABOVE.
17 18	COPIES to be sent by the Bankruptcy Notification Center ("BNC") to the following:
19	Michael R. King, Attorney for Centerpoint Holdings Timothy J. Martens, Attorney for Centerpoint Holdings
20	Gregory J. Gnepper, Attorney for Centerpoint Holdings
21	Michael P. Lane, Attorney for Trustee
22	Dale D. Ulrich, Trustee
23	Richard M. Lorenzen, Attorney for ML Manager, LLC
24	D. Lamar Hawkins, Attorney for Debtor
25	Cathy L. Reese, Attorney for ML Manager, LLC
26	Office of the U.S. Trustee
27	
28	This buyer, ML Manager, already closed on a \$4,850,000 purchase of another asset, which auction occurred on the same day as this one.
	3