1	Robert J. Miller, Esq. (#013334) Bryce A. Suzuki, Esq. (#022721)	
2	Justin A. Sabin, Esq. (#026359)	
3	BRYAN CAVE LLP Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200	
4	DI : A : 05004 4406	
5	Facsimile: (602) 364-7070	
6	Internet: rjmiller@bryancave.com bryce.suzuki@bryancave.com	
7	justin.sabin@bryancave.com	
8	Counsel for the Rev Op Investors	
9	IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
10	FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
11	In re:	Chapter 11
12	MORTGAGES LTD.,	Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH
13 14	Debtor.	OBJECTION TO ML MANAGER'S MOTION TO SELL REAL PROPERTY
15		REAL PROPERTY LOCATED ON HUNT HIGHWAY, PINAL COUNTY, ARIZONA
16		Hearing Date: February 13, 2012
17		Hearing Time: 1:30 p.m.
18		
19	Pueblo Sereno Mobile Home Park, L.L.C. and/or its successors and assigns (collectively	
20	the "Rev Op Investors") hereby file this Objection to ML Manager's Motion To Sell Rea	
21	Property [DE #3413] dated January 23, 2012 (the "Sale Motion"). In support of this Objection	
22	the Rev Op Investors hereby submit as follo	ows:
23	1. According to the Sale Motion	on, HH Loan LLC and six pass-through investors co-
24	own the real property and improvements loo	cated on Hunt Highway in Pinal County, Arizona (the
25	"Property"). The Rev Op Investors are am	ong the pass-through investors referenced in the Sale
26	Motion.	
27	2. The Rev Op Investors collection	ctively held a 13.621% interest in Loan No. 858305
28	(the "Loan"), and own a corresponding inter	rest as tenants in common of the Property.

- 3. ML Manager states that it has foreclosed on the Property at a trustee's sale. According to the Sale Motion, the outstanding principal amount on the Loan totals approximately \$3.67 million.
- 4. Pursuant to the Sale Motion, ML Manager seeks authority to sell a *5 acre portion* of the Property to Sun Life Family Health Centers, Inc. ("Purchaser") for \$300,000. The Sale Motion provides no description of the 5 acre portion of the Property to be sold. Indeed, according to Section 1.1 of the Agreement of Sale and Purchase attached to the Sale Motion (the "Purchase Agreement"), "[t]he legal description and exact dimensions of the [5 acre portion of the Property] shall be subject to the written approval of both Seller and Buyer." In other words, ML Manager and the Purchaser have not even reached an agreement as to the exact portion of the Property that ML Manager seeks authority to sell. Accordingly, the Rev Op Investors object to the Sale Motion on the basis that it fails to provide any meaningful information regarding the actual portion of the Property to be sold.
- 5. In addition, the Rev Op Investors have repeatedly requested that ML Manager partition the real property in which they hold tenant-in-common ownership interests. ML Manager, however, has repeatedly denied such requests on the asserted basis that partitioning is impossible under the circumstances and would diminish the value of the respective properties. Ironically, ML Manager now seeks authority to sell only a 5 acre portion of the Property despite its previous representations to the Rev Op Investors and the Court about the effect such a transaction would have on the overall value of the Property. Accordingly, the Rev Op Investors object to ML Manager's splitting of the Property, or, in the alternative, request that the Court order ML Manager to partition the Rev Op Investors' portion of the Property in full satisfaction of the Rev Op Investors' tenant-in-common ownership interests in the Property.
- 6. Lastly, the Rev Op Investors incorporate by reference herein previous sale-motion objections filed by the Rev Op Investors and affiliated parties (and the arguments and authorities

Exhibit B to the Purchase Agreement sets forth only a "depiction" of the portion of the Property to be sold, which amounts only to an indecipherable sketch of a portion of the Property.

set forth therein) at the following Docket Entry numbers: DE #2499; DE #2504; DE #2878; DE
#2881; DE #2965; DE #3003; DE #3095; DE #3153; DE #3185; DE #3187; DE #3262; DE
#3307; DE #3327; DE #3343; and DE #3380.

7. The Rev Op Investors hereby reserve all of their rights with respect to the proposed sale of the subject property. Various Rev Op Investors previously recorded terminations of agency that put prospective buyers on notice that any sale will be subject to the Rev Op Investors' interests.

WHEREFORE, the Rev Op Investors request that the Court enter an order denying the Sale Motion and granting to the Rev Op Investors such other relief as it deems appropriate.

DATED this 6th day of February, 2012.

## **BRYAN CAVE LLP**

By /s/ JAS, #026359

•	Robert J. Miller
	Bryce A. Suzuki
	Justin A. Sabin
	Two North Central Avenue, Suite 2200
	Phoenix, AZ 85004-4406
	Counsel for the Rev Op Investors

28