1	AIKEN SCHENK HAWKINS & RICCIARDI P.C. 4742 North 24 <sup>th</sup> Street		
2	Suite 100 Phoenix, Arizona 85016-4859		
3	Telephone: (602) 248-8203 Facsimile: (602) 248-8840		
5	Philip R. Rupprecht - 009288		
6	Attorneys for Ron Barness		
7	IN THE UNITED OF A TOTAL DAYS		
8	FOR THE DISTRICT OF		
9			
10	In re MORTGAGES, LTD.,	Case No. 2:08-BK-07465-RJH	
11	Debtor.	Chapter 11	
12		NOTICE OF FILING DECLARATION OF RON N. BARNESS	
13		20011W BIRKINDS	
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20	Order Dated June 21, 2011 and Motion for Clarifica	ition.	
21	DATED this day of August, 2011.		
22	, 8,4,5,5,5		
23	Alk	XEN SCHENK HAWKINS & RICCIARDI P.C.	
24	By	MAuk-///	
25		Philip R. Rupprech 4742 North 24th Street, Suite 100	
26		Phoenix, Arizona 85016 Attorneys for Ron Barness	
27			
28			
Case 2:08-bk-07465-RJH Doc 3291 Filed 08/01/11 Entered 08/01/11 15:32:24 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 5			

Doc 3291

Main Document

Case 2:08-bk-07465-RJH

Filed 08/01/11

Page 3 of 5

Entered 08/01/11 15:32:24

Desc

- 6. At the time I received the March Order, I read it to require me to "return" that portion of the Check which I have not already spent. Unfortunately, both for ML Manager and myself, by the time I received the March Order, I had used all of the proceeds to pay my bills. I read the March Order to require me to return what I had left of the Check and I had nothing left.
- 7. To say I was surprised at the outcome of the June Hearing is an understatement. I was completely surprised that I could be ordered to borrow from (and defraud) one creditor to repay a different creditor.
- 8. Although ML Manager argued repeatedly at the June hearing that it simply wanted to put all the parties back where they were before, they cannot do that. In February 2011, the interest rate on my outstanding debt was 0 to 1.99% and service that debt. Now, if I can borrow at all, it is at a 12% pre-default rate. To service that debt, and keep out of default would require me to use about half of my monthly income which I simply cannot afford.
- 9. I have calculated the monthly payment required under the terms of Chase's current balance transfer program. By my calculations, if I could write a balance transfer check in excess of \$112,000, my minimum monthly payment would be in excess of \$2,200. Earlier this year, I carried a balance on my Chase credit cards in excess of \$112,000. At the time I received the Check, my monthly payment to Chase totaled just over \$400 which I could afford.
- 10. In mid-July, 2011, I received, unsolicited, a written offer from Chase Bank to reinstate up to a total of \$25,000 of balance transfer credit. If I draw on this line, the unpaid balance will accrue at the rate of 12% per annum. To date, it appears that the maximum balance transfer check available to me is \$25,000 -- well short of the \$112,075.31 ordered paid by the June Order.
- 11. My family can afford a payment of \$400 per month. My family cannot afford a payment of \$2,200 per month.
- 12. Putting me another \$112,000 in debt to Chase Bank at this point does not restore the status quo to either me or to Chase Bank.

	1
:	2
3	3
4	1
4	5
6	í
7	7
8	}
9	7
10	)
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	***
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

13. A few days	after the hearing in this case on June 15, 2011, I logged on to my account
with Chase	Bank to determine whether the cash balance transfer credit I had was still
available to	me.

- 14. I learned, much to my surprise, that Chase had cut-off without any warning to me, the ability to write balance transfer checks.
- 15. Since the June 15th hearing, I have not spoken to a single individually at Chase Bank.
- 16. Since the June 15th hearing, I have not sent an email to anyone at Chase Bank.
- 17. Since the June 15th hearing, I have not communicated in anyway with anyone at Chase Bank other than to log on to my account to determine funds availability.
- 18. At the time I testified at the June hearing, I was unaware that Chase Bank had cancelled my ability to write cash balance transfer checks.
- 19. It is my understanding that the Court entered an order directing me to pay \$112,075.31 to ML Manager by June 30, 2011 (the "June Order"). A copy of the June Order was never served on me. First time I learned about the June Order was when I learned that ML Manager was seeking a bench warrant in its application dated July 26, 2011.
- 20. I currently have no balance transfer with my Bank of America credit cards and have not had that balance transfer capability for some time.
- 21. I make this declaration based upon my personal knowledge.

August 1 2011
Date

Ron N. Barness

S:\Barness.R\20100701\Pleadings\Dec Ron Barness.docx

28

27