FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C. 1 Cathy L. Reece (005932) 2 Keith L. Hendricks (012750) 3003 N. Central Ave., Suite 2600 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85012 Telephone: (602) 916-5343 4 Facsimile: (602) 916-5543 Email: creece@fclaw.com 5 Attorneys for ML Manager LLC 6 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT 7 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 8 Chapter 11 In re 9 Case No. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH MORTGAGES LTD., 10 Debtor. ML MANAGER LLC'S MOTION TO STRIKE WITHOUT HEARING ROBERT FURST'S 11 MOTION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER CONFIRMING THAT (A) THE MORTGAGES 12 LTD. 401(K) PLAN IS NOT SUBJECT TO THE CHAPTER 11 PLAN OR THE EXIT 13 FINANCING, AND (B) ML MANAGER LLC HAS NO DISCRETIONARY AUTHORITY 14 OVER THE 401(K) PLAN 15 Hearing Date: None set Hearing Time: None set 16 ML Manager LLC ("ML Manager") hereby files its Motion to Strike ("Motion") 17 18 19 20

without hearing the Motion for Entry of Order Confirming that (A) the Mortgages Ltd. 401(k) Plan is not Subject to the Chapter 11 Plan or the Exit Financing, and (B) ML Manager LLC has no Discretionary Authority over the 401(k) Plan ("Mr. Furst's Motion"), filed by Robert Furst on March 24, 2010 (Docket No. 2700).

The Mortgages Ltd. 401(k) Plan (the "401(k) Plan") has appeared in this case and is represented by counsel, Thomas S. Moring of Pak & Moring PLC. Any relief requested for the 401(k) Plan should be made by the Trustee of the 401(k) Plan by and through its counsel. While Mr. Furst is an attorney, he does not represent the 401(k) Plan but rather represents himself. Mr. Furst also is not the Trustee of the 401(k) Plan. And has no

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C PROENIX

21

22

23

24

25

26

2298441

authority to act on behalf of or speak for the 401(k) Plan Trustee. Pursuant to Mr. Furst's Motion, Mr. Furst requests a Court order finding that (i) the 401(k) Plan is not subject to the confirmed Chapter 11 plan or the exit financing and (ii) ML Manager has no authority over the 401(k) Plan. Mr. Furst's Motion should be stricken summarily without hearing by the Court on procedural grounds since Mr. Furst lacks standing to bring it and because his request is not ripe. ML Manager is already talking with the 401(k) Plan Trustee and the Counsel for the 401(k) Plan about the issues concerning the 401(k) Plan, the loans serviced by Mortgages Ltd., the agency agreement and the expenses. As the Court will remember, the 401(k) Plan by and through its counsel previously filed a Motion to Ratify Appointments and to Define Liquidating Trustee's Roles with Respect to the 401(k) Plan (Docket No. 2115) which was resolved by a Stipulated Order dated September 23, 2009 (Docket No. 2210). In that Stipulated Order all of the rights and arguments of the ML Manager about the expenses and costs to be charged to the 401(k) Plan were reserved. See paragraph v of the Stipulated Order.

Mr. Furst has no standing to represent or bring actions or request the relief for the 401(k) Plan and in bringing Mr. Furst's Motion is interfering with the ML Manager's discussions with and negotiations with the Trustee and Counsel for the 401(k) Plan. As a result Mr. Furst's Motion should be stricken without prejudice. If the Trustee of the 401(k) Plan decides an action or motion is necessary he can bring a request for relief by and through counsel.

Similar to a corporation, which is recognized as a separate legal entity with sole standing to take action concerning the corporation, the 401(k) Plan stands as a separate legal entity. As such the Trustee of the 401(k) Plan directs the actions and positions of the 401(k) Plan and employs counsel to act on its behalf. As a separate legal entity, the 401(k) Plan must be represented by counsel to appear and request legal relief of a Court. Mr. Furst does not have the legal right to sidestep the 401(k) Plan Trustee's authority and to

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
PHOENIX

appear and make a request for the 401(k) Plan. See Stoll v. Quintanar (In re Stoll), 252 B.R. 492, 496 (B.A.P. 9th Cir. 2000) ("Under general principles of trust law, a beneficiary of a trust generally lacks standing to sue third parties on behalf of the trust.").

In addition, Mr. Furst's Motion is not ripe as no controversy yet exists. The ML Manager Board and its counsel are trying to discuss and negotiate the issues related to the 401(k) Plan loans with the Trustee and counsel for the 401(k) Plan. No demand has been made or refused. Until the issues are ripe and properly brought before the Court it is not a wise use of the resources of the ML Manager or the other parties or the Court to hear or require responses on Mr. Furst's Motion.

Further, rather than address the substantive issues at this time, ML Manager reserves the right to fully address the legal and factual issues if the 401(k) Plan Trustee by and through its counsel files a pleading or if this Motion to Strike is not granted and the Court sets Mr. Furst's Motion for hearing and requires a response. Meanwhile, ML Manager requests that the Court summarily without hearing deny Mr. Furst's Motion without prejudice for it to be raised by the party with standing to request relief.

DATED: April 2, 2010

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.

By /s/ Keith L. Hendricks (012750)
Keith L. Hendricks
Attorneys for ML Manager LLC

COPY of the foregoing mailed this 2nd day of April, 2010 to the following:

Robert Furst 4201 N. 57th Way Phoenix, Arizona 85018 ProPer

/s/ Gidget Kelsey Bacon

FENNEMORE CRAIG, P.C.
PHOENIX