

SIGNED.



Dated: November 04, 2009

Randolph J. Haines

RANDOLPH J. HAINES
U.S. Bankruptcy Judge

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

In re)	Chapter 11
)	
)	CASE NO. 2:08-bk-07465-RJH
)	
MORTGAGES LTD.,)	ORDER DENYING STERNBERG AND REV
)	OP GROUP'S MOTIONS TO RECONSIDER
Debtor.)	MEMORANDUM DECISIONS AND ORDERS
)	OF OCTOBER 21 AND OCTOBER 27

Sternberg Enterprises Profit Sharing Plan and The Rev Op Group have both filed motions to reconsider the Memorandum Decision dated October 21, 2009, as subsequently amended by the Order of October 27, 2009. Both motions assume that the effect of the amendment deleting the words "sell or" from the Memorandum Decision is a ruling or determination that ML Manager has authority to sell the fractional interest in notes held by non-transferring investors. That is not a correct interpretation of the order amending the Memorandum Decision.

The Court has made no determination as to whether the ML Manager has authority to sell the fractional interests of non-transferring investors. That issue has not been presented to the Court for decision, and it was simply an error for the Memorandum Decision to indicate that the ML Manager lacked authority to sell such fractional interests. But deleting the reference to the lack of such authority does not constitute a ruling that the ML Manager has such authority. That issue remains to be decided when it actually arises and is properly presented to the Court for decision.

Nor did the Memorandum Decision determine which documents govern the ML Manager's authority to deal with any particular loan, collateral, or fractional interest in a loan. It did not decide, for example, whether the ML Manager's authority might or might not be

1 governed by a blank form agency agreement.

2 The Rev Op Group also seeks reconsideration on the ground that the
3 Memorandum Decision failed to consider or address purported distinctions between the ML
4 Manager's expenses and the "non-expense burdens of the exit financing," and between all of
5 the ML Manager's expenses and those related to servicing and collecting the ML Loans. The
6 motion for reconsideration is denied because the Memorandum Decision did consider and
7 rejected both of those purported distinctions.

8 Finally, the Rev Op Group's motion seeks clarification that the denial of its
9 prior motion to amend is without prejudice as to some allegedly unresolved factual and legal
10 issues. This seems to be a request for an advisory opinion on hypothetical issues that may or
11 may not actually arise some time in the future. Consequently the Court denies that requested
12 further amendment.

13 For these reasons,

14 IT IS ORDERED denying in their entirety the motions for reconsideration filed
15 by Sternberg Enterprises Profit Sharing Plan and the Rev Op Group.

16 DATED AND SIGNED ABOVE

17 Copy of the foregoing e-mailed/mailed
18 this 4th day of November, 2009, to:

19 Robert J. Miller, Esq.
20 Bryan Cave LLP
rjmiller@bryancave.com
Attorneys for Rev Op Group

21 Cathy L. Reece, Esq.
22 Fennemore Craig, P.C.
creece@fclaw.com
Attorneys for ML Manger LLC

23 Richard M. Lorenzen, Esq.
24 Perkins Coie Brown & Bain P.A.
rlorenzen@perkinscoie.com
25 Attorneys for Official Unsecured Creditors Committee
26 of Radical Bunny, LLC

27 William Scott Jenkins, Esq.
28 Myers & Jenkins, P.C.
wsj@mjlegal.com
Attorneys for ML Liquidating Trust

1 S. Cary Forrester, Esq.
2 Forrester & Worth, PLLC
3 scf@fwlawaz.com
4 Attorneys for Lewis & Underwood Trust

5 Sheldon H. Sternberg
6 Sternberg Enterprises Profit Sharing Plan
7 ssternberg@q.com
8 Pro Se

9 Robert G. Furst
10 4201 North 57th Way
11 Phoenix, Az 85018
12 Pro Se

13 Larry Watson, Esq.
14 Office of the U.S. Trustee
15 larry.watson@usdoj.gov

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 /s/ Pat Denk
30 Judicial Assistant